## **EXHIBIT B**



## Deposition of: **Robert McMeeking , Ph.D.**

July 6, 2017

In the Matter of:

## In Re: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability

## **Veritext Legal Solutions**

1075 Peachtree St. NE , Suite 3625 Atlanta, GA, 30309 800.808.4958 | calendar-atl@veritext.com | 770.343.9696

	Page 36
1	BY MS. DALY:
2	Q Uh-huh.
3	A And in other activities, which I cannot
4	talk about, I've made similar comparisons among
5	filters.
6	Q But you've said you're not going to rely
7	in this case on the comparisons you made in the
8	cases you can't talk about, right?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q All right. So we'll set that aside for a
11	moment. But you you have developed no prototype
12	making changes to any of Bard's filters that you
13	think would perform better, correct?
14	MR. O'CONNOR: Form.
15	THE WITNESS: No, I've I've developed
16	no prototype to attempt to to achieve that
17	objective.
18	BY MS. DALY:
19	Q And of course since you don't have a
20	prototype for that, you've not bench tested such a
21	prototype to see how it would perform either, true?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q Okay.
24	MR. O'CONNOR: Belated objection to the
25	form of the question.

Veritext Legal Solutions

		Page 37
L	BY MS. DALY:	

Q You talk about the changes to the G2X cap, and I want to know what your opinion is on the chamfer design in the G2X compared to the G2.

MR. O'CONNOR: You can refer to your report, too, it's in there.

BY MS. DALY:

2.0

2.3

Q Sure.

A In my opinion, the chamfer was changed very little in going from the G2 to the G2X, and the reason is that although the cap was bead blasted, the chamfer area was masked during the bead blasting and as a consequence of that, the bead blasting would not have broken the sharp edges, which are the -- the problem that is associated with the chamfer. And this is contrary to Dr. Fasching's claim that the bead blasting would have softened that particular sharp edge.

The next point is that after the bead blasting, there was a process of tumbling the cap in a bed of ceramic particles, and that would have removed some material by a process of pol- -- essentially polishing, mechanical polishing, but in my assessment it would not have removed a great deal of material and, therefore, would not have

Page 38

changed the shape of the chamfer very much.

2.0

2.3

And as information that's consistent with that, we can look at Figure -- Figure 187 in Dr. Fasching's report, which the report dated May 11 of 2017, where it can be seen that there are two rounded edges at the bottom of the cap; one of them is very gradual, which is the one on the outside, and my assessment is that that edge was broken by the bead blasting; whereas the one on the inside of the cap adjacent to the limb you can see is much sharper in the sense that the radius of curvature is much smaller than the other curved surface.

And I did an estimate of the radius of curvature and I found that the radius of curvature for that chamfer is about 20 microns. Now, I would defer to those who measure the -- the radius of curvature directly in images on the electron microscope and so on, so I'm not going to say this is a definitive measure of the radius of curvature, but it leaves me with the impression that the radius of curvature is about 20 microns. And the radius of curvature that was measured by Dr. Fasching on a Recovery filter quite some time ago was 15 microns.

And so it's my inference that there was

2.0

2.3

Page 39

not a big change to the radius of curvature of the chamfer in the processes that were used in the manufacture of the G2X. And that, therefore, the strain concentration which would be associated with that chamfer was not reduced significantly, although if some material was removed, it would have reduced the strain concentration to some extent.

Q Okay. So, first of all, let me start with that last thing first. You have not done any specific modeling or FEA to determine what the change in chamfer that you're willing to say occurred to this 20 millimeters -- microns, would -- what that impact would be on fracture resistance? You have not done any of that work specifically?

A Well, I've -- I've considered the difference between a radius of curvature of 5 microns and one in which, if you like, the radius of curvature is very large, but in between -- which spans the range from a radius of curvature of 5 microns to ones which are much larger. But other than that, I've not done a specific calculation.

But I should point out that the reduction is proportional to the degree of change of the

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 40
1	radius of curvature, so if you don't change the
2	radius of the curvature very much, you're not going
3	to change the strain concentration very much.
4	Q What's the largest curvature that you've
5	looked at to see how that would impact strain?
6	A You mean what's the largest curvature I've
7	considered?
8	Q Yeah. I thought you said that you looked
9	at a range and there was a large one that you
10	looked at.
11	A Well, infinity would be the answer, which
12	of course is not
13	Q Well
14	A not a reasonable answer in the sense
15	that it's not practical for a filter.
16	Q Did you actually model something on the
17	order of 40 microns, 50 microns, something like
18	that?
19	A No, what I modeled was a case where the
20	chamfer is having no effect on raising the strains
21	at the in the arms where the arms are in contact
22	with the chamfer.
23	Q Okay.
24	A And that means that the radius of
25	curvature is is just very large.

	Page 41
1	Q Do you know from any SEM work that either
2	Dr. Fasching has presented or Dr. Richie has
3	presented whether the arms of G2Xs ever touch the
4	chamfer?
5	A Did you say SEM work?
6	Q Yeah.
7	A Well, I have not seen that work
8	specifically, but as I look at these images it
9	looks as if there is contact between the chamfer
LO	and the arms. Although, again, I would not claim
L1	that that's a definitive interpretation of the
L2	situation. But there are many images throughout
L3	Dr. Fasching's report and Dr. Richie's reports
L <b>4</b>	where you can see that there seems to be direct
L5	contact between the chamfer and the the limb and
L6	that that that contact seems to occur in several
L 7	of the filters that they looked at.
L8	Q How about G2Xs?
L9	A This is
20	Q And just to be clear, are you aware that
21	they've seen very few G2Xs
22	A That's
23	Q I think two.
24	A Yeah, that's what I was going to comment
25	on, that there's there's I'm not even sure,

	Page 42
1	to my recollection, that there's a G2X looked at
2	in in this report or in Dr. Richie's report
3	other than the exemplar, so there's very little
4	information to go on in that regard.
5	Q And did you see an exemplar G2X?
6	A I have an exemplar that I have in my
7	possession.
8	Q Okay. And have you done any bench testing
9	of that exemplar to see if you can cause wires to
10	touch the chamfer?
11	A No.
12	MR. O'CONNOR: Form and foundation.
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	BY MS. DALY:
15	Q Were there any modifications to Bard's
16	retrievable filters starting with the G2 that did
17	not go forward into the next model until the
18	Denali, which you and I know is quite different?
19	MR. O'CONNOR: Form and foundation.
20	THE WITNESS: There there may have been
21	slight changes to the lengths of limbs, but other
22	than that, I believe all of the modifications went
23	forward until the Meridian.
24	MR. O'CONNOR: Are you done with the
25	Fasching report?

	·
	Page 43
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	MR. O'CONNOR: Keep your report in front
3	of you and refer to it if you need to, please.
4	BY MS. DALY:
5	Q Now, you have not examined an exemplar
6	Meridian, correct?
7	A Yes, I have a Meridian in my possession.
8	Q Okay. When did you get that?
9	A Oh, a week or two ago.
10	Q Okay. Because I noticed it was not in
11	your report at that time
12	A Right. Right.
13	Q you did not have the Meridian. So from
14	what source did you get a Meridian?
15	A I asked for it from the plaintiffs'
16	counsel.
17	Q And what about a Denali, do you have that?
18	A I have a Denali.
19	Q When did you get that?
20	A About two weeks ago as well.
21	Q Do you know why you didn't get it before
22	two weeks ago?
23	MR. O'CONNOR: Form.
24	THE WITNESS: Because I didn't ask for it.
25	BY MS. DALY:

Veritext Legal Solutions 770.343.9696